

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 6151 03384-0364 AYMAN BEDAIR 12/30/1999 09/475,269 **EXAMINER** 10/21/2003 7590 22852 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER HARPER, KEVIN C LLP PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 1300 I STREET, NW 2666 WASHINGTON, DC 20005 **DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	—— ( )A	
					( ty	
r •	Office Action Summary	09/475,269		BEDAIR ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
	The MAII INO DATE of Alice accomplished to the second	Kevin C. Harper		2666		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover	Sneet with the co	orrespondence ad	aress	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, hower y within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S , cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from t become ABANDONED	ely filed  will be considered timely the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. ommunication.	
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 L	<u>December 1999</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	is action is non-fir	nal.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
<u> </u>	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.					
<b>5</b> \[\]	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
_	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
· <u></u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requireme	ent.			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
<u> </u>	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		ed to by the Exan	niner		
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	-			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved in Application	on No		
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Burse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage	
14)[] <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	) (to a provisional	application).	
a	)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application	n has been rece	eived.	,	
Attachmen		. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No( atent Application (PTC	•	
C Detect and T	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/475,269

Art Unit: 2666

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-15 and 20-33, drawn to optimizing bandwidth of a device to maintain a quality level for a PBX, classified in class 370, subclasses 235 and 465.
- II. Claim 16-19 and 34-37, drawn to monitoring and optimizing the operation of network cards associated with channels of a PBX, classified in class 370, subclasses 241 and 465.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as efficiently operating a networking device. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Robert Rotella (Reg. No. 24,014) on October 9, 2003, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2666

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 703-305-0139. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays, except Wednesday, from 9:30 AM to 8:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao, can be reached at 703-308-5463. The fax number for Technology Center (TC) 2600 is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Customer Service Office for TC 2600 at 703-306-0377.

Kevin C. Harper

October 9, 2003

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**